1		Judge Jamal N. Whitehead			
2					
3		FILED ENTERED RECEIVED			
4		APR 03 2025			
5		AT SEATTLE			
6		CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON BY DEPUT			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE				
8					
9					
10					
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	NO. CR25-052 JNW			
12	Plaintiff,	PLEA AGREEMENT			
13	v.				
14	AMY SINISCARCO,				
15	Defendant.				
16	Defendant.				
17					
18	The United States, through Acting United States Attorney Teal Luthy Miller and				
19	Assistant United States Attorney Jehiel I. Baer of the Western District of Washington,				
20	Defendant Amy Siniscarco, and Siniscarco's attorney, Nancy Tenney, enter into the				
21	following Plea Agreement, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c)(1)(B).				
22	1. Waiver of Indictment. Siniscarco, I	having been advised of the right to be			
23	charged by Indictment, agrees to waive that right and enter a plea of guilty to the				
24	charge(s) brought by the United States Attorney in an Information.				

26

1	2.	The (Charge(s). Siniscarco, having been ad	vised of the right to have this
2	matter tried before a jury, agrees to waive that right and enters a plea of guilty to each of			
3	the following charge(s) contained in the Information:			
4		a.	Wire Fraud, as charged in Count 1 o	f the Information, in violation of
5	Title 18, Uni	ted Sta	ates Code, Section 1343;	Duesdad
6		b.	Filing a False Tax Return, as charge	
7	in violation o	of Title	26, United States Code, Section 7206	5(1).
8	By entering pleas of guilty, Siniscarco hereby waives all objections to the form of			
9	the charging document. Siniscarco further understands that before entering any guilty			
10	plea, Siniscarco will be placed under oath. Any statement given by Siniscarco under oath			
11	may be used by the United States in a prosecution for perjury or false statement.			
12	3.	Elem	ents of the Offense(s). The elements	of the offense(s) to which
13	Siniscarco is pleading guilty are as follows:			
14		a.	The elements of Wire Fraud, as char	ged in Count 1, are as follows:
15 16			First, the defendant knowingly devise or a scheme or plan for obtaining more false or fraudulent pretenses, representations.	oney or property, by means of
17			Second, the statements made or facts	s omitted as part of the scheme
18	were material; that is, they had a natural tendency to influence, or were capable of influencing, a person to part with money or			
19			property;	it to part with money of
20			Third, the defendant acted with the i	ntent to defraud, that is, with the
21			intent to deceive and cheat; and	
22			Fourth, the defendant used, or caused to correct out or attempt to correct out.	
23			to carry out, or attempt to carry out,	an essential part of the scheme.
24		b.	The elements of the offense of Filing	g a False Tax Return, as charged
25	in Count 2, a	re as fo	ollows:	
26			First, the defendant made and subscription of the document that was false as to s	
27			other document that was false as to a	i materiai matter;

Second, the return, statement or other document contained a written declaration that it was made under penalty of perjury;

Third, the defendant did not believe the return, statement or other document to be true as to every material matter; and

Fourth, the defendant acted willfully.

- 4. The Penalties. Siniscarco understands that the statutory penalties applicable to the offense(s) to which Siniscarco is pleading guilty are as follows:
- For the offense of Wire Fraud, a term of imprisonment of up to a. twenty years, a fine of up to two hundred fifty thousand dollars, a period of supervision following release from prison of up to three years, and \$100 special assessment. If Siniscarco receives a sentence of probation, the probationary period could be up to five years.
- b. For the Offense of Filing a False Tax Return, a term of imprisonment of up to three years, a fine of up to \$250,000, a period of supervision following release from prison of up to one year, and \$100 special assessment. If a probationary sentence is imposed, the probation period can be for up to five years.

Siniscarco understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which Siniscarco will be subject to certain restrictive conditions and requirements. Siniscarco further understands that, if supervised release is imposed and Siniscarco violates one or more of the conditions or requirements, Siniscarco could be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release that was originally imposed. This could result in Siniscarco serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.

Siniscarco understands that as a part of any sentence, in addition to any term of imprisonment and/or fine that is imposed, the Court may order Siniscarco to pay restitution to any victim of the offense, as required by law.

1

4 5

67

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

2122

2324

25

2627

Siniscarco further understands that the consequences of pleading guilty may include the forfeiture of certain property, either as a part of the sentence imposed by the Court, or as a result of civil judicial or administrative process.

Siniscarco agrees that any monetary penalty the Court imposes, including the special assessment, fine, costs, or restitution, is due and payable immediately and further agrees to submit a completed Financial Disclosure Statement as requested by the United States Attorney's Office.

Siniscarco understands that, if pleading guilty to a felony drug offense, Siniscarco will become ineligible for certain food stamp and Social Security benefits as directed by Title 21, United States Code, Section 862a.

- 5. Immigration Consequences. Siniscarco recognizes that pleading guilty may have consequences with respect to Siniscarco's immigration status if Siniscarco is not a citizen of the United States. Under federal law, a broad range of crimes are grounds for removal, and some offenses make removal from the United States presumptively mandatory. Removal and other immigration consequences are the subject of a separate proceeding, and Siniscarco understands that no one, including Siniscarco's attorney and the Court, can predict with certainty the effect of a guilty plea on immigration status. Siniscarco nevertheless affirms that Siniscarco wants to plead guilty regardless of any immigration consequences that Siniscarco's guilty plea(s) may entail, even if the consequence is Siniscarco's mandatory removal from the United States.
- 6. **Rights Waived by Pleading Guilty.** Siniscarco understands that by pleading guilty, Siniscarco knowingly and voluntarily waives the following rights:
 - a. The right to plead not guilty and to persist in a plea of not guilty;
- b. The right to a speedy and public trial before a jury of Siniscarco's peers;
 - c. The right to the effective assistance of counsel at trial, including, if

4

3

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

19

18

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

- Siniscarco could not afford an attorney, the right to have the Court appoint one for Siniscarco;
- d. The right to be presumed innocent until guilt has been established beyond a reasonable doubt at trial;
- The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against Siniscarco e. at trial;
- f. The right to compel or subpoena witnesses to appear on Siniscarco's behalf at trial:
- The right to testify or to remain silent at trial, at which trial such g. silence could not be used against Siniscarco; and
 - h. The right to appeal a finding of guilt or any pretrial rulings.
- 7. United States Sentencing Guidelines. Siniscarco understands and acknowledges that the Court must consider the sentencing range calculated under the United States Sentencing Guidelines and possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines together with the other factors set forth in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a), including: (1) the nature and circumstances of the offense(s); (2) the history and characteristics of Siniscarco; (3) the need for the sentence to reflect the seriousness of the offense(s), to promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment for the offense(s); (4) the need for the sentence to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct; (5) the need for the sentence to protect the public from further crimes of Siniscarco; (6) the need to provide Siniscarco with educational and vocational training, medical care, or other correctional treatment in the most effective manner; (7) the kinds of sentences available; (8) the need to provide restitution to victims; and (9) the need to avoid unwarranted sentence disparity among defendants involved in similar conduct who have similar records. Accordingly, Siniscarco understands and acknowledges that:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- b. After consideration of the Sentencing Guidelines and the factors in 18 U.S.C. 3553(a), the Court may impose any sentence authorized by law, up to the maximum term authorized by law;
- c. The Court is not bound by any recommendation regarding the sentence to be imposed, or by any calculation or estimation of the Sentencing Guidelines range offered by the parties or the United States Probation Department, or by any stipulations or agreements between the parties in this Plea Agreement; and
- d. Siniscarco may not withdraw a guilty plea solely because of the sentence imposed by the Court.
- 8. **Ultimate Sentence.** Siniscarco acknowledges that no one has promised or guaranteed what sentence the Court will impose.
- 9. **Statement of Facts.** Siniscarco admits Siniscarco is guilty of the charged offense(s). The parties agree on the following facts:

Siniscarco's Embezzlement from Her Employer

- a. From 2010 through August 2022, Siniscarco was employed by a Bellingham, Washington, company involved in the sale and leasing of construction equipment and hardware, which is referenced in this Information as "Victim Company." Siniscarco was eventually promoted to Assistant Office Manager for Victim Company. Siniscarco duties included, among other things, paying invoices for Victim Company's business expenses and recording those transactions in Victim Company's accounting system.
- b. Beginning no later than about February 2013, and continuing until about August 2022, Siniscarco devised a scheme and artifice to defraud, and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses,

1	representations and promises, and Siniscarco used, and caused to be used, interstate wire		
2	transmissions in furtherance of the scheme.		
3	c. The essence of the scheme and artifice to defraud was for Siniscarce		
4	to enrich herself by secretly transferring corporate funds to her own accounts while		
5	creating the appearance that the funds were being used for legitimate business expenses		
6	of Victim Company.		
7	d. Siniscarco fraudulently wrote business checks from Victim		
8	Company's accounts to accounts she controlled, as set forth below:		
9	i. Siniscarco obtained blank business checks from Victim		
10	Company's accounts, ostensibly for the purpose of processing payments for legitimate		
11	invoices.		
12	ii. In some instances, Siniscarco had an employee of Victim		
13	Company with signing authority on Victim Company's accounts sign the blank checks		
14	after falsely representing the intended recipient and/or intended purpose of the checks. In		
15	other instances, Siniscarco forged the signature of Victim Company employees.		
16	iii. After obtaining or forging the requisite signatures, Siniscarco		
17	wrote a different payee than what was represented to Victim Company. Such payees		
18	included, without limitation: "Amy Scheib," Siniscarco's previous name from an earlier		
19	marriage; youth sports organizations for which Siniscarco served as treasurer, including		
20	"S.W.C.H."; and "cash."		
21	iv. Siniscarco concealed the true nature of the payments by		
22	including false descriptions of the payments on the checks' memo lines. Siniscarco also		
23	voided the checks on Victim Company's books and records.		
24	e. Siniscarco also utilized Automated Clearinghouse ("ACH")		
25	payments to secretly transfer Victim Company's funds to herself, as set forth below:		
26			
27			

1	i. Siniscarco's duties at Victim Company included, without		
2	limitation, overseeing and performing ACH payments, credit card payments, tax		
3	payments for internet sales tax, and reconciling payments to Amazon.		
4	ii. Siniscarco abused her role by initiating ACH payments from		
5	Victim Company to accounts she controlled, including, without limitation: Siniscarco's		
6	personal account at North Coast Credit Union; Siniscarco's personal E*Trade account;		
7	the mortgage servicer for Siniscarco's house; and the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"),		
8	for payment of a tax debt owed by her husband.		
9	iii. Siniscarco concealed the true nature of the ACH payments		
10	she made to her personal accounts by labeling the payments in Victim Company's		
11	accounting software as "INET TAXES," referencing taxes ostensibly owed on internet-		
12	based sales.		
13	iv. Siniscarco was responsible for reconciling ACH transactions		
14	at Victim Company, so her fraud went undiscovered for some time.		
15	f. Siniscarco also made unauthorized personal purchases using Victin		
16	Company's credit cards, as set forth below:		
17	i. Siniscarco handled all of Victim Company's credit cards but		
18	did not have a company credit card in her name.		
19	ii. Siniscarco was instructed by Victim Company's manager to		
20	cancel certain company credit cards, but instead of canceling the cards, Siniscarco		
21	utilized the cards to make unauthorized purchases for her personal benefit.		
22	iii. Siniscarco used Victim Company's credit cards to conduct		
23	more than 1,800 unauthorized transactions on her personal Amazon account.		
24	iv. Siniscarco concealed the credit card statements from Victim		
25	Company by having the statements sent electronically only to Siniscarco's work email		
26	address.		
27			

9

1011

12 13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21 22

23 24

2526

27

g. Siniscarco also took petty cash from Victim Company, which she attempted to conceal by writing fraudulent business checks to replenish the petty cash in amounts she had taken.

h. In all, from at least February 2013 through August 2022, Siniscarco secretly wrote at least 27 unauthorized checks, initiated at least 54 unauthorized ACH transactions, conducted at least 2,604 unauthorized credit card purchases, and took petty cash that collectively transferred at least \$1,424,696.31 in Victim Company's funds and currency to herself or for her benefit.

Use of Interstate Wires

i. Siniscarco used the interstate wires in various ways in the course of her fraud. For example, when transferring funds from Victim Company accounts to her E*Trade account, Siniscarco caused wire transmissions that originated in the Western District of Washington and traveled to servers maintained by E*Trade and ACH processors outside of Washington including in New Jersey, Wisconsin, and Georgia. One such incident occurred on May 14, 2021, when Siniscarco initiated an ACH transfer originating in Bellingham, Washington, and terminating outside of Washington that caused a transfer of \$50,000 from Victim Company's People's Bank account to Siniscarco's E*Trade account.

Siniscarco's Fraudulent Tax Returns

- j. The payments Siniscarco made to herself were taxable income. However, Siniscarco did not report this income on her Form 1040 individual tax returns for the years 2013 through 2022. For each of these years, Siniscarco willfully made and subscribed a tax return under penalty of perjury that she did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter.
- k. For example, on about March 16, 2022, Siniscarco filed under penalty of perjury a tax return for the tax year 2021. Siniscarco reported on the tax return that her joint taxable income with her husband for the tax year totaled \$131,718.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Siniscarco willfully failed to report that she had received an additional \$269,270 in income from her employer through the embezzlement scheme described above.

1. Siniscarco's failure to accurately report her income for the tax years 2018 through 2022 resulted in a total of \$956,323.00 in unreported income and a total tax loss of \$226,826, which amount is due and owing. The following table sets out the amount of unreported income, and the additional tax due and owing, for each year:

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Total
Unreported Income	\$98,391	\$257,448	\$213,919	\$269,270	\$117,295	\$956,323
Due and owing	\$16,326	\$61,967	\$51261	\$70,121	\$27,151	\$226,826

The parties agree that the Court may consider additional facts contained in the Presentence Report (subject to standard objections by the parties) and/or that may be presented by the United States or Siniscarco at the time of sentencing, and that the factual statement contained herein is not intended to limit the facts that the parties may present to the Court at the time of sentencing.

- Sentencing Factors. The parties agree that the following Sentencing 10. Guidelines provisions apply to this case:
 - With respect to Count 1: a.
 - A base offense level of seven, pursuant to USSG i.
- § 2B1.1(a)(1);
- ii. An increase of fourteen points because the loss exceeds \$550,000, pursuant to USSG § 2B1.1(b)(1)(I); and
- iii. An increase of two points because the offense involved a breach of a position of private trust, pursuant to USSG § 3B1.3.
 - b. With respect to Count 2:
- i. A base offense level of sixteen because the tax loss exceeds \$100,000, pursuant to USSG § 2T1.1 and 2T4.1; and

11

12

13

10

141516

18 19

20

21

17

2223

25

24

26

- ii. An increase of two points because the defendant failed to identify a source of income exceeding \$10,000 in any year from criminal activity, pursuant to USSG § 2T1.1(b)(1).
- c. Adjustment for multiple counts: The offense group described in Paragraph b is five levels less serious than the offense group described in Paragraph a, resulting in a one-point adjustment for multiple offense units under USSG 3D1.4(a).

The parties agree they are free to present arguments regarding the applicability of all other provisions of the United States Sentencing Guidelines. Siniscarco understands, however, that at the time of sentencing, the Court is free to reject these stipulated adjustments, and is further free to apply additional downward or upward adjustments in determining Siniscarco's Sentencing Guidelines range.

- 11. Acceptance of Responsibility. At sentencing, if the Court concludes Siniscarco qualifies for a downward adjustment for acceptance of responsibility pursuant to USSG § 3E1.1(a) and Siniscarco's offense level is 16 or greater, the United States will make the motion necessary to permit the Court to decrease the total offense level by three levels pursuant to USSG §§ 3E1.1(a) and (b), because Siniscarco has assisted the United States by timely notifying the United States of Siniscarco's intention to plead guilty, thereby permitting the United States to avoid preparing for trial and permitting the Court to allocate its resources efficiently.
- 12. Recommendation Regarding Imprisonment. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c)(1)(B), the United States agrees to recommend that the appropriate term of imprisonment to be imposed by the Court at the time of sentencing be no more than 33 months. The government may recommend any other non-custodial terms of the sentence. Siniscarco is free to make any recommendation permitted by law. Siniscarco understands that these recommendations are not binding on the Court and the Court may reject the recommendation of the parties and may impose any term of imprisonment up to the statutory maximum penalty authorized by law. Siniscarco further

Plac Across

understands that Siniscarco cannot withdraw a guilty plea simply because of the sentence imposed by the Court. Except as otherwise provided in this Plea Agreement, the parties are free to present arguments regarding any other aspect of sentencing.

- 13. **Restitution.** Siniscarco shall make restitution to Victim Company in the amount of \$1,424,696.31, and to the United States Treasury, in the amount of \$226,826.00, with credit for any amounts already paid.
- a. The full amount of restitution shall be due and payable immediately on entry of judgment and shall be paid as quickly as possible. If the Court finds that the defendant is unable to make immediate restitution in full and sets a payment schedule as contemplated in 18 U.S.C. § 3664(f), Siniscarco agrees that the Court's schedule represents a minimum payment obligation and does not preclude the U.S. Attorney's Office from pursuing any other means by which to satisfy Siniscarco's full and immediately-enforceable financial obligation, including, but not limited to, by pursuing assets that come to light only after the district court finds that Siniscarco is unable to make immediate restitution.
- b. Siniscarco agrees to disclose all assets in which Siniscarco has any interest or over which Siniscarco exercises control, directly or indirectly, including those held by a spouse, nominee, or third party. Siniscarco agrees to cooperate fully with the United States' investigation identifying all property in which Siniscarco has an interest and with the United States' lawful efforts to enforce prompt payment of the financial obligations to be imposed in connection with this prosecution. Siniscarco's cooperation obligations are: (1) before sentencing, and no more than 30 days after executing this Plea Agreement, truthfully and completely executing a Financial Disclosure Statement provided by the United States Attorney's Office and signed under penalty of perjury regarding Siniscarco's and Siniscarco's spouse's financial circumstances and producing supporting documentation, including tax returns, as requested; (2) providing updates with any material changes in circumstances, as described in 18 U.S.C. § 3664(k), within seven

13 14

12

16 17

15

18 19 20

22 23

21

25

26

Plea Agreement - 13 United States v. Amy Siniscarco, CR25-052 JNW

days of the event giving rise to the changed circumstances; (3) authorizing the United States Attorney's Office to obtain Siniscarco's credit report before sentencing; (4) providing waivers, consents or releases requested by the U.S. Attorney's Office to access records to verify the financial information; (5) authorizing the U.S. Attorney's Office to inspect and copy all financial documents and information held by the U.S. Probation Office; (6) submitting to an interview regarding Siniscarco's Financial Statement and supporting documents before sentencing (if requested by the United States Attorney's Office), and fully and truthfully answering questions during such interview; and (7) notifying the United States Attorney's Office before transferring any interest in property owned directly or indirectly by Siniscarco, including any interest held or owned in any other name, including all forms of business entities and trusts.

- The parties acknowledge that voluntary payment of restitution prior c. to the adjudication of guilt is a factor the Court considers in determining whether Siniscarco qualifies for acceptance of responsibility pursuant to USSG § 3E1.1(a). In addition, in any event, the government will consider Siniscarco's cooperation regarding restitution in making its sentencing recommendation.
- 14. Tax Loss Amount. The United States and Siniscarco stipulate and agree that the correct amount of tax loss for purposes of sentencing is \$226,826.00. Siniscarco understands that this Plea Agreement does not preclude the Internal Revenue Service from assessing and determining any additional civil tax, penalties, and/or interest that may be owed by Siniscarco. In addition, Siniscarco understands that Siniscarco is required to pay costs of prosecution.
- 15. Forfeiture of Assets. Siniscarco understands that the forfeiture of assets is part of the sentence imposed in this case.

Siniscarco agrees to forfeit to the United States immediately all of Siniscarco's right, title, and interest in any and all property, real or personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to the wire-fraud scheme charged in Count 1 of the

Information. This property is subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C), by way of Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), and includes, but is not limited to, a judgment for a sum of money (also known as a forfeiture money judgment) in the amount of \$1,424,696.31, representing the proceeds Siniscarco obtained as a result of the wire-fraud scheme charged in Count 1.

Siniscarco understands and acknowledges that any property forfeited will be separate and distinct from any restitution that is ordered in this case. Siniscarco further understands and acknowledges to request restoration, the United States Attorney's Office (the "USAO") must send a request to the Money Laundering and Asset Recovery Section ("MLARS") of the Department of Justice, that includes the representations outlined in the Asset Forfeiture Policy Manual (2023), Chapter 14, Sec. II.B. and 28 C.F.R. Part 9.8, which are summarized as follows: (i) all known victims have been properly notified of the restitution proceedings and are properly accounted for in the restitution order; (ii) to the best of the USAO's knowledge and belief after consultation with the seizing agency, the losses described in the restitution order have been verified, comport with the remission requirements, and reflect all sources of compensation received by the victims, including returns on investments, interest payments, insurance proceeds, refunds, settlement payments, lawsuit awards, and any other sources of compensation for their losses; (iii) to the best of the USAO's knowledge and belief after consultation with the seizing agency, reasonable efforts to locate additional assets establish that the victims do not have recourse reasonably available to obtain compensation for their losses from other assets, including those owned or controlled by the defendant(s); and (iv) there is no evidence to suggest that any of the victims knowingly contributed to, participated in, benefitted from, or acted in a willfully blind manner, toward the commission of the offenses underlying the forfeiture or a related offense. The USAO agrees that if it can make these required representations, then the USAO will submit a restoration request to MLARS, seeking approval for any assets forfeited to be restored to the victims in this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11 12

10

13 14

16 17

15

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

case, which may, in turn, satisfy in full or part any restitution order. Siniscarco further understands and acknowledges that the Attorney General, or his/her designee, has the sole discretion to approve or deny the restoration request. The United States also agrees that the amount Siniscarco pays toward restitution will be credited against this forfeited sum.

Siniscarco agrees to fully assist the United States in the forfeiture of the abovedescribed property and to take whatever steps are necessary to pass clear title to the United States, including but not limited to: surrendering title and executing any documents necessary to effect forfeiture; assisting in bringing any property located outside the United States within the jurisdiction of the United States; and taking whatever steps are necessary to ensure that property subject to forfeiture is not sold, disbursed, wasted, hidden, or otherwise made unavailable for forfeiture. Siniscarco agrees not to file a claim to any such property in any federal forfeiture proceeding, administrative or judicial, which may be or has been initiated. Siniscarco also agrees she will not assist any party who may file a claim to this property in any federal forfeiture proceeding.

The United States reserves its right to proceed against any remaining assets not identified in this Plea Agreement, including any property in which Siniscarco has any interest or control, if such property constitutes or derives from proceeds of the wire-fraud scheme set forth in Count 1.

- 16. Abandonment of Contraband. Siniscarco also agrees that, if any federal law enforcement agency seized any illegal contraband that was in Siniscarco's direct or indirect control, Siniscarco consents to the federal administrative disposition, official use, and/or destruction of that contraband.
- 17. Non-Prosecution of Additional Offenses. As part of this Plea Agreement, the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington agrees not to prosecute Siniscarco for any additional offenses known to it as of the time of this Plea Agreement based upon evidence in its possession at this time, and that arise out of the

conduct giving rise to this investigation. In this regard, Siniscarco recognizes the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington has agreed not to prosecute all of the criminal charges the evidence establishes were committed by Siniscarco solely because of the promises made by Siniscarco in this Plea Agreement. Siniscarco agrees, however, that for purposes of preparing the Presentence Report, the United States Attorney's Office will provide the United States Probation Office with evidence of all conduct committed by Siniscarco.

Siniscarco agrees that any charges to be dismissed before or at the time of sentencing were substantially justified in light of the evidence available to the United States, were not vexatious, frivolous or taken in bad faith, and do not provide Siniscarco with a basis for any future claims under the "Hyde Amendment," Pub. L. No. 105-119 (1997).

18. **Breach, Waiver, and Post-Plea Conduct.** Siniscarco agrees that, if Siniscarco breaches this Plea Agreement: (a) the United States may withdraw from this Plea Agreement and Siniscarco may be prosecuted for all offenses for which the United States has evidence; (b) Siniscarco will not oppose any steps taken by the United States to nullify this Plea Agreement, including the filing of a motion to withdraw from the Plea Agreement; and/or (c) Siniscarco waives any objection to the re-institution of any charges that previously were dismissed or any additional charges that had not been prosecuted.

Siniscarco further understands that if, after the date of this Plea Agreement,
Siniscarco should engage in illegal conduct, or conduct that violates any conditions of
release or the conditions of confinement (examples of which include, but are not limited
to, obstruction of justice, failure to appear for a court proceeding, criminal conduct while
pending sentencing, and false statements to law enforcement agents, the Pretrial Services
Officer, Probation Officer, or Court), the United States is free under this Plea Agreement
to file additional charges against Siniscarco and/or to seek a sentence that takes such
conduct into consideration by requesting the Court to apply additional adjustments or

25

26

enhancements in its Sentencing Guidelines calculations in order to increase the applicable advisory Guidelines range, and/or by seeking an upward departure or variance from the calculated advisory Guidelines range. Under these circumstances, the United States is free to seek such adjustments, enhancements, departures, and/or variances even if otherwise precluded by the terms of the Plea Agreement.

19. Waiver of Appellate Rights and Rights to Collateral Attacks. Siniscarco acknowledges that, by entering the guilty plea(s) required by this Plea Agreement, Siniscarco waives all rights to appeal from Siniscarco's conviction, and any pretrial rulings of the Court, and any rulings of the Court made prior to entry of the judgment of conviction. This includes, without limitation, any constitutional challenge to the Siniscarco's conviction. Siniscarco further agrees that, provided the Court imposes a custodial sentence that is within or below the Sentencing Guidelines range (or the statutory mandatory minimum, if greater than the Guidelines range) as determined by the Court at the time of sentencing, Siniscarco waives to the full extent of the law any right conferred by Title 18, United States Code, Section 3742, to challenge, on direct appeal, the sentence imposed by the Court, including any fine, restitution order, probation or supervised release conditions, or forfeiture order (if applicable). This includes, without limitation, any challenge to any aspect of the sentence and sentencing proceeding, including any statutory or constitutional challenge to how the sentence was imposed.

Siniscarco also agrees that, by entering the guilty plea(s) required by this Plea Agreement, Siniscarco waives any right to bring a collateral attack against the conviction and sentence, including any restitution order imposed, except as it may relate to the effectiveness of legal representation or a claim of prosecutorial misconduct based on facts unknown or not reasonably discoverable prior to entry of the judgment of conviction.

Siniscarco acknowledges that certain claims, including certain claims for prosecutorial misconduct, will be barred by operation of law by virtue of her guilty plea, independently from this Plea Agreement. This waiver does not preclude Siniscarco from

4 5

6 7

9

8

11 12

10

14 15

13

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27 bringing an appropriate motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, to address the conditions of Siniscarco's confinement or the decisions of the Bureau of Prisons regarding the execution of Siniscarco's sentence.

If Siniscarco breaches this Plea Agreement at any time by appealing or collaterally attacking (except as to claims not subject to the waiver, above) the conviction or sentence in any way, the United States may prosecute Siniscarco for any counts, including those with mandatory minimum sentences, that were dismissed or not charged pursuant to this Plea Agreement.

- 20. Voluntariness of Plea. Siniscarco agrees that Siniscarco has entered into this Plea Agreement freely and voluntarily, and that no threats or promises were made to induce Siniscarco to enter a plea of guilty other than the promises contained in this Plea Agreement or set forth on the record at the change of plea hearing in this matter.
- 21. **Statute of Limitations.** In the event this Plea Agreement is not accepted by the Court for any reason, or Siniscarco breaches any of the terms of this Plea Agreement, or Siniscarco withdraws from this Plea Agreement after it has been accepted by the Court, the statute of limitations shall be deemed to have been tolled from the date of the Plea Agreement to: (1) thirty days following the date of non-acceptance of the Plea Agreement by the Court; or (2) thirty days following the date on which a breach of the Plea Agreement by Siniscarco is discovered by the United States Attorney's Office; or (3) thirty days following the grant of a motion to withdraw from the Plea Agreement.

11

1	22. Completeness of Plea Agreement. The United States and Siniscarco
2	acknowledge that these terms constitute the entire Plea Agreement between the parties,
3	except as may be set forth on the record at the change of plea hearing in this matter. This
4	Plea Agreement binds only the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District
5	of Washington. It does not bind any other United States Attorney's Office or any other
6	office or agency of the United States, or any state or local prosecutor.
7	Dated this3_day of April, 2025.
8	Drum Siriscomo
9	AMY SINISCARCO
10	Defendant
11	
12	
13	NANCY TENNEY Attorney for Defendant
14	
15	Elan DA
16	JEHIEL I. BAER
17	Assistant United States Attorney
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	